

## The Role of Cultural Codes in the Interpretation of Folklore Texts

University of Exact and Social Sciences

2nd year master's student of Foreign Language and Literature

Sharipova Malikakhon Kahramon kizi

**Abstract:** Cultural codes serve as fundamental semiotic mechanisms that encode and transmit national worldview, values, and collective memory within folklore texts. This study explores the role of these codes in facilitating deeper interpretation of folklore, drawing on Yuri Lotman's theory of cultural semiotics and applying it to both universal and specifically Uzbek folkloric traditions. Through semiotic analysis, the research demonstrates how cultural codes—such as zoomorphic, phytomorphic, spatial, and mythological symbols—function as interpretive keys that unlock hidden layers of meaning in folklore narratives, proverbs, and epics. Particular attention is given to Uzbek folklore, including the epic *Alpomish* and traditional folk tales, where symbols like the sacred horse, divine birth motifs, and tripartite cosmic structures embody ethnocultural identity. The findings reveal that cultural codes not only preserve cultural heritage amid globalization but also enable cross-cultural dialogue by revealing shared human archetypes while highlighting unique national mentalities. This interdisciplinary approach bridges linguistics, semiotics, and folkloristics, underscoring the enduring relevance of folklore as a dynamic repository of cultural information. The study contributes to contemporary linguoculturology by emphasizing the necessity of decoding these signs for accurate textual interpretation and cultural preservation.

**Keywords:** cultural codes, folklore texts, semiotics, interpretation, Uzbek folklore, linguoculturology, mythological symbols, ethnocultural identity, Lotman's semiosphere, zoomorphic codes.

**Introduction:** Folklore texts represent one of the oldest and most resilient forms of human cultural expression, encapsulating the collective wisdom, beliefs, and imaginative worldview of societies across time. In the context of rapid globalization and technological advancement, the interpretation of these texts demands sophisticated analytical tools capable of uncovering embedded cultural meanings. Cultural codes—defined as systems of signs and symbols that organize and transmit cultural



information—emerge as critical instruments in this interpretive process. As Yuri Lotman posits in his semiotic theory of culture, folklore operates within a “semiosphere,” where texts function not merely as narratives but as complex sign systems that model reality and preserve cultural memory.

Cultural codes manifest in various forms: verbal (linguistic expressions), real (material objects in rituals), and actional (performative behaviors). They bridge the gap between denotation (literal meaning) and connotation (cultural associations), transforming seemingly simple folk motifs into profound carriers of national mentality. In folklore, these codes often appear as archetypes—such as the hero’s journey, sacred animals, or cosmic tripartition—that recur across cultures yet acquire distinct ethnospecific inflections. Roland Barthes’ concept of myth further illuminates how these codes naturalize ideological and moral values, presenting cultural constructs as eternal truths.

The present study focuses on the interplay between universal semiotic principles and localized cultural codes, with a special emphasis on Uzbek folklore. Uzbek folk traditions, rooted in Turkic oral heritage, exemplify how cultural codes encode historical memory, moral ideals, and spiritual beliefs. Symbols drawn from everyday life, nature, and mythology—such as the horse symbolizing vitality and soul in *Alpomish*—serve as interpretive lenses that reveal deeper socio-cultural contexts. Without recognizing these codes, modern readers risk superficial or ethnocentric interpretations that overlook the texts’ full semiotic depth.

**Relevance of Work:** In an era of cultural homogenization driven by digital media and globalization, the preservation and reinterpretation of folklore texts have become increasingly urgent. Folklore serves as a living archive of national identity, yet its semantic richness is often diminished when analyzed without attention to cultural codes. This is particularly pertinent in post-Soviet Central Asia, where Uzbek scholars have highlighted the role of linguocultural codes in maintaining ethnic distinctiveness amid external influences.

Recent studies underscore the timeliness of this topic. The emergence of modern folklore variants—blending traditional schemes with contemporary realities—necessitates updated interpretive frameworks. Semantic codes in lullabies and family legends, for instance, evolve to reflect shifting social values, demonstrating folklore’s



adaptability. In Uzbek linguistics, the analysis of stable units (proverbs, similes, and epics) reveals how codes like biomorphic or spatial symbols encode national stereotypes and moral norms. Ignoring these leads to incomplete understanding, especially in educational and intercultural contexts. This work addresses a gap by integrating international semiotics with Uzbek-specific research, contributing to the broader field of cultural semiotics and supporting national cultural policy aimed at heritage revival.

**Purpose:** The primary purpose of this research is to elucidate the pivotal role of cultural codes in the hermeneutic interpretation of folklore texts. Specifically, it aims to: (1) define and classify key types of cultural codes operative in folklore; (2) demonstrate their application through detailed analysis of selected Uzbek and comparative international examples; (3) evaluate how decoding these codes enhances comprehension of underlying cultural, moral, and ideological layers; and (4) propose methodological recommendations for folkloristic and literary studies. By achieving these objectives, the study seeks to affirm folklore's status as a semiotic system essential for cultural continuity and cross-cultural understanding.

**Materials and Methods of Research:** The materials for analysis comprise classical and contemporary folklore texts. Primary sources include Uzbek epics such as *Alpomish* (recorded versions from the 20th century), folk tales from collections like *Uzbek Folk Tales*, proverbs, and lullabies. Comparative materials draw from Russian and European folklore (e.g., lullabies analyzed in semantic studies) to highlight universal versus culture-specific codes. Secondary sources encompass theoretical works on semiotics and linguoculturology.

Methods employed are predominantly qualitative and interdisciplinary. Semiotic analysis, informed by Lotman's cultural semiotics and Barthes' myth theory, examines paradigmatic (selection) and syntagmatic (combination) relations of signs. Linguocultural decoding identifies types of codes (zoomorphic, spatial, somatic, mythological) as per Uzbek scholars. Structural-functional analysis traces how codes operate within narrative structures. Comparative-historical methods contextualize Uzbek examples against broader Turkic and Indo-European traditions. Data processing involves close textual reading, keyword extraction (e.g., 200 keywords from lullabies),



and thematic coding to reveal semantic shifts. All interpretations are supported by direct quotations and contextual evidence, ensuring scholarly rigor.

**Results and Discussion:** The analysis yields several significant findings regarding cultural codes in folklore interpretation. First, zoomorphic codes predominate as powerful interpretive tools. In Uzbek folklore, the horse in *Alpomish* functions as a multifaceted symbol: literally an animal, connotatively a companion embodying the hero's soul, vitality, and divine favor. This code draws from ancient Turkic mythology, where the sacred horse links earthly and celestial realms, enabling readers to interpret the epic not merely as adventure but as a cosmogonic narrative of national genesis.

Similarly, spatial codes—such as the tripartite division of the world (upper, middle, lower realms) in Turkic epics—structure narrative logic and convey philosophical worldview. Mountains as “magical fortresses,” caves as spirit dwellings, and water/soil as life sources encode environmental ethics and spiritual beliefs, as noted in analyses of Turkic oral works.

Mythological codes further enrich interpretation. Divine birth motifs and hero archetypes in *Alpomish* parallel global patterns (e.g., Campbell's monomyth) yet localize through Uzbek cultural lenses, emphasizing communal heroism and moral resilience. In proverbs and similes, phytomorphic and somatic codes (e.g., images from household life or human body parts) link everyday realities to abstract values, facilitating moral education across generations.

Comparative discussion with modern folklore texts reveals code evolution: traditional symbols persist but acquire new connotations (e.g., “cradle” shifting from literal to metaphorical “birthplace of ideals”). Semantic codes thus maintain cultural continuity while adapting to socio-economic changes. These results confirm that cultural codes act as “keys” to folklore's deeper strata, preventing misinterpretation and fostering empathetic cross-cultural engagement. Challenges arise in globalized contexts where codes may be “lost in translation,” underscoring the need for culturally informed pedagogy.

**Conclusion:** Cultural codes are indispensable for the accurate and profound interpretation of folklore texts, serving as semiotic bridges between surface narrative and underlying cultural semantics. This study has demonstrated their classification, functionality, and transformative power through Uzbek and comparative examples,



affirming folklore's role as a dynamic repository of national memory and universal human values. By decoding these signs—zoomorphic, spatial, mythological, and others—scholars, educators, and readers gain access to folklore's full expressive potential, enhancing cultural preservation and intercultural dialogue in the 21st century. Future research should expand digital semiotic tools for folklore corpora and explore code interactions in hybrid modern texts. Ultimately, recognizing cultural codes revitalizes folklore studies, ensuring its relevance as a vital force in shaping collective identity and moral consciousness.

### References

1. Lotman, Y. M. (1990). *Universe of the Mind: A Semiotic Theory of Culture*. Indiana University Press. (pp. 123-150, 200-220).
2. Ilyina, L. E., Golovina, E. V., & Sumtsova, T. Y. (2020). Semantic Codes of Folklore and Modern Folklore Texts. *European Proceedings of Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 51, 1-10. (pp. 3-7).
3. Mukimova, Z. (2023). Linguocultural Codes and Its Types in Uzbek Language. *Anglisticum Journal*, 12(1), 68-78. (pp. 70-75).
4. Barthes, R. (1972). *Mythologies*. Hill and Wang. (pp. 109-159).
5. Eshonqulov, J. (1999). *Folklor: Obraz va talqin*. Nasaf. (p. 67).
6. Kholova, M. B. (2024). Cultural Codes in Uzbek Literature. *GRN Journal of STEM*, 2(3), 495-500. (pp. 496-498).
7. Usmonova, Sh. (2019). *Lingvokulturologiya*. Toshkent. (pp. 30-31, 68, 245).
8. Musaeva, F. (2019). *O'zbek shevalarining lingvomadaniy tadqiqi*. Fan. (pp. 91, 94, 120).
9. Usmonov, F. (2019). *O'zbek tilidagi o'xshatishlarning lingvomadaniy tadqiqi* [Doctoral dissertation]. (p. 12).
10. Boye, A. B., & Fayyaz, S. (2024). A Semiotic Analysis of the Moral Essence of Myths and Folk Tales. *Australasian Review of African Studies*, 45(1), 42-58. (pp. 45-50).